Are Fungi the Future for the Bioremediation of Contaminated Soils?

Nate Van Meter and Tsering Choden

Environmental Science Senior Capstone

Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY

May 8th, 2017

Abstract

White rot basidiomycetes are known to degrade recalcitrant pollutants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) that pose serious risks to the environment and health. We compared the biodegradability of Aroclor 1248 (3,3',5,5'-tetrachlorobiphenyl) by four different white-rot fungi and examined the effects of phenol oxidase and peroxidase produced by the fungi. The four white-rot fungi that were studied were *Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Agaricus bisporus* (portobello) *Lentinula edodes* (shiitake) and *Grifola frondosa* (maitake). The biodegradation of Aroclor 1248 was determined via gas chromatography, and enzyme activity was measured via an enzyme assay. This study has shown *P. chrysosporium* and shiitakes to be effective bioremediators of Aroclor 1248 in contaminated substrate.
Keywords: PCBs; mycoremediation; bioremediation; white rot fungi; basidiomycetes; P*hanerochaete chrysosporium; Agaricus bisporus* (portobello); *Lentinula edodes* (shiitake); *Grifola frondosa* (maitake); soil contamination; Phenol Oxidase; Peroxidase; Enzyme Assay; Microwave Assisted Extraction; Gas Chromatography, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry

Introduction

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are persistent organic pollutants (POPs) that were used extensively in various industrial applications such as hydraulic and dielectric fluids until banned by the EPA in 1979 (EIP Associates, 1997). However, their persistence and widespread use during the 20th century has made them ubiquitous in the environment (Pointing, 2001). They have been found in remote locations such as the eggshells of Arctic seabirds (Braune and Mallory, 2017), as well as in penguins and Antarctic birds of prey (Wolschke et al, 2015).

variety of health effects, ranging from endocrine disruption to birth defects to possibly cancer

PCBs and lignin are not terribly chemically different; both rely heavily on aromaticity in their molecular structures (Stutz et al, 2017). It is therefore not surprising that these enzymes, phenol oxidase and peroxidase, have also been shown to degrade PCBs (Beaudette, 1998; Čvančarová et al, 2012; Köller, 2000). Although there have been a number of studies investigating the abilities of various white-rot basidiomycetes to enzymatically degrade PCBs, to the best of our knowledge, few have taken steps to grow the fungi in substrate that would be found in the natural environment. Some studies (e.g. Bruzzoniti et al 2012; Stella et al, 2017; Chekol et al, 2004) have looked at the efficacy of PCB breakdown in soil, but these did not go any further to recreate an accurate "natural" matrix; i.e. with wood and other organic matter. In order to truly understand which species would be most effective at a polluted site, it is important to minimize the inaccuracies inherent in studying fungi in a laboratory setting, especially with respect to substrate. To this end, we tested the effects of three white-rot fungi against Aroclor 1248, in a substrate comprised mainly of store-bought soil, and sterilized sawdust.

Methods

Species Selection:

Our species were chosen for several reasons, respectively. *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* is a well-established model white-rot fungus, and has been used in a variety of studies examining PCB bioremediation with lignolytic enzymes (e.g. Hiratsuka et al, 2005; Dietrich et al, 1995;

(turkey tails). Given the relative abundance of literature on these species as bioremediators (e.g. Pointing et al, 2001; Byss et al, 2008; Anastasi et al, 2008, Gao et al 2010), we have instead elected to study two species taxonomically close to oysters and turkey tails, respectively: *Agaricus bisporus* (portobellos), and *Grifola frondosa* (maitakes). *Lentinula edodes* (shiitakes) was chosen due to being widely known as an easy-to-grow white rot fungus, while having not been studied as much from a bioremediative perspective.

Fungal Culture Preparation:

Liquid cultures of *Agaricus bisporus* (portobello) *Lentinula edodes* (shiitake), and *Grifola frondosa* (maitake) were purchased from out-grow.com and agar-based cultures of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* ATCC 34540 grown on a petri dish were obtained from Ecovative, in Troy, NY.

The liquid cultures of the first three species were cultivated in quarter-pint mason jars with 236 ml solution of malt and dextrose (1:1) using well water and were incubated at 23.5° C for 2 weeks. Each species had five jars of replicates.

10 ml of homogenized liquid cultures from each species (except *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*) were then added to Mason jars containing 225 ml of sterilized rye grain. The agar based culture of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* which was grown on petri dishes was directly added onto the sterilized rye grains. Each species had eight jars of replicates. By this point, portobello cultures showed no biological activity, and were discontinued from the study.

After 2 weeks of incubation period at 23.5° C, the mycelium colonized grain spawns were added to sterilized hardwood sawdust substrate and were incubated at 23.5° C for 3 more

The analysis of PCB concentration in the sample solutions was performed using the Gas Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometer (Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatograph with 5975C Mass Selective Detector) in the Skidmore SAIL facility.

Enzyme Assays:

The enzyme assay to gauge the activity of enzymes produced by the mycelium was conducted according to the procedures outlined in Weintraub et al (2007). The phenol oxidase assay was performed with 200 µL of each soil sample in a well-plate, with 50 µl of 5 mM L-DOPA as an indicator. For control replicates, we used 50 mM acetate solution (pH 5), in place of either soil or L-

extremely low level of peroxidase activity at 2.5 d, and was the only species to show an increase in peroxidase levels from 2.5 to 5 d (Fig. 1). All other treatments, including the control replicates, show a statistically significant decrease in peroxidase activity from 2.5 to 5 d. The only cultures with noteworthy phenol oxidase levels were the shiitakes, and then only at 2.5 d (Fig. 2). Slight increases of phenol oxidase at 5 d were seen in the other two species.

PCB Analysis:

At 2.5 d, shiitakes showed the highest degree of PCB degradation, with 18.791 ± 2.847 ppm Aroclor 1248 remaining in the substrate. An additional 2.5 days did not make a statistically significant difference for PCB breakdown by shiitakes, although it did put phanerochaete PCB concentrations at level comparable to those found in shiitakes. Although PCB concentrations in the phanerochaete treatment were at 31.157 ± 4.889 after 2.5 d, they fell to 22.611 ± 3.345 ppm after 5 d (Table 1). From this it appears that, although shiitake had an advantage over phanerochaete in the early days following exposure to PCBs, the two species performed comparably thereafter. Maitake showed little PCB degradation, and no statistical difference between 2.5 and 5 d (Fig. 3). Controls actually fared better than every species after 5 d, with PCB concentrations at 16.187 ± 0.686 ppm.



Figure 1. Peroxidase activity in fungi in soil 2.5 and 5 d following PCB exposure.



Figure 2. Phenol oxidase activity in fungi in soil 2.5 and 5 d following PCB exposure.

Figure 3. Aroclor 1248 concentrations at 2.5 and 5 d.

Species	2.5 Days	5 Days
Control	26.213 ± 0.486	16.187 ± 0.686
Shiitake	18.791 ± 2.847	

initial concerns of an acute toxic effect of the PCBs on the fungi, a post-study check on the fungi two weeks after PCB exposure showed no signs of this. Based on the small uptick in phenol oxidase production in the three fungi, it could be that the fungi had downregulated peroxidase production and were slowly beginning to upregulate phenol oxidase production. Some of the peroxidase seen in shiitake and phanerochaete may actually have been present in the soil, as was the case with the controls. However, the statistical difference between peroxidase activity in phanerochaete and the controls at 2.5 d, as well as those between phanerochaete, shiitake and the controls at 5 d, suggest that not all peroxidase activity can be attributed to being present in the soil from the beginning.

The greatest surprise in examining the data was the difference in PCB concentrations between maitake and every other species. Although it was expected that maitakes would show higher PCB concentrations than the other species based off of their lack of enzyme activity, the degree of difference, even from the controls, was unexpected. This raises the issue of whether the controls reflect the percent recovery of PCBs following digestion and filtration, as well as whether there was any sampling error or bias in the maitake replicates. We consider these scenarios unlikely, because if the PCB concentrations in the controls were an accurate reflection of our percent recovery, this would mean that either maitakes had produced PCBs during the

with PCB concentrations comparable to those seen in the maitake replicates, if not higher. The reason that the PCB concentrations in maitake replicates are so much greater than in the others would then be due to a much lower degree of PCB breakdown in the maitake replicates, because of significantly lower enzyme activity in the maitake replicates.

The paucity of enzyme activity in the maitake replicates does, however, give us pause. Considering that the soil used for the maitake replicates was no different than the soil used in the control replicates, and given that there was peroxidase activity in the controls at 2.5 d, it seems odd that there would be hardly any enzyme activity seen in the maitake replicates, even if the fungus itself did not produce any. In order to rule out any possibility of sampling error, future studies should consider homogenizing the entire jar's worth of substrate for sampling, although this will necessitate many more replicates. Despite this enigma, we maintain that none of the species were treated differently, either in sampling technique or in analysis, although we do concede that it would have been prudent to analyze samples for PCBs and enzyme activity immediately after PCB exposure; i.e. at 0 d, in order to establish a baseline for each species.

Despite the surprising nature of some of our results, we suggest that the juxtaposition of the control replicates against the maitakes speaks to the bioremediative potential of the enzymes produced by white-rot fungi (Čvančarová et al, 2012; Gao et al, 2010), by examining peroxidase in isolation. Despite having zero fungal activity, the controls showed a breakdown of PCBs over the short time they were watched, and also showed peroxidase activity at 2.5 d. Maitakes, on the other hand, showed extremely little enzyme activity, the highest PCB concentrations of any treatment, and no statistical difference between 2.5 and 5 d. Fungal biomass notwithstanding, we

this perspective, our study affirms peroxidase as having bioremediative potential against PCBs. Additionally, we have demonstrated that shiitakes, which have not been studied as PCB bioremediators to the same extent as phanerochaete (e.g. Čvančarová et al, 2012; Beaudette et al, 2000; Stella et al, 2017), are at least as effective as phanerochaete in peroxidase production and in PCB degradation.

Future Studies

In this study, shiitakes have shown potential for biodegradation of PCBs. Therefore, future research should be focused on studyin(ut)-210 <(ud)-pd Tf 0 -2.en studi Hih

goal of this line of research is to inoculate contaminated soils with the appropriate species to, we hope, eventually phase out the conventional remediation methods.

Acknowledgements:

We are grateful to Professor Kurt Smemo for his guidance and support to our study, Lisa Quimby for her excellent technical assistance with instrumentation, Professor Sue Van Hook for her invaluable suggestions for cultivating our fungi, and to the facilities extended by Skidmore College's Environmental Science and Studies (ESS) Department, along with Skidmore

Bruzzoniti, M. C., Maina, R., Tumiatti, V., Sarzanini, C., Rivoira, L., De Carlo, R. M. 2012. Fast low-pressure microwave assisted extraction and gas chromatographic determination of polychlorinated biphenyls in soil samples. *Journal of Chromatography*, 1265(A): 31-38.

Byss, M., Elhottová, D., Tříska, J., Baldrian, Petr. 2008. Fungal bioremediation of the creosote-