

INSTITUTIONAL POLICY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
September 8, 2006

PRESENT: President Philip Glotzbach, Chair; Professor Mark Huibregtse, Vice Chair; Susan Kress, Michael West, Michael Casey, Mary Lou Bates, Pat Oles, Paula Newberg, Ann Henderson, Beau Breslin, Mehmet Odekon, Bill Lewis, Barbara McDonough, John Chaplin, Dan Moran, Kelly Carr; Sue Van Hook and Justin Sipher (guests) and Barbara Krause (Secretary).

ABSENT: Muriel Poston.

President Glotzbach called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

1. Review of Minutes.

Minutes of the August 29, 2006 meeting were accepted without amendment.

2. Campus Environment Committee (CEC). This item was taken out of order to accommodate a schedule conflict.

Sue Van Hook distributed copies of CE

Mike West reported that internal conversations are underway to identify a group of local

In addition to these changes, the President indicated that he would consult each spring with IPPC and other groups as appropriate (e.g., FEC) to seek input on what action items might be considered for the coming year. The President and Cabinet members would

Action Agenda.

Any further comments or suggestions should be forwarded to the President and/or to Barbara Krause.

6. Campus Planning.

Three public meetings have been scheduled for October 3 (one at 9:45 a.m. and one at 3:45 p.m.) and October 4 (12:30 p.m.). An announcement with further details will be made to the entire campus community. Lo-Yi Chan and Fred DiMauro will conduct these sessions. A web site is being developed to provide information and a way for community members to express their thoughts regarding the plan.

Dan Moran will encourage SGA senators to attend the public meetings. He will speak with Fred DiMauro about a possible presentation to the Senate.

Tentative goal is to report back to IPPC at the October 20 meeting and to report to the Board of Trustees the following week. President Glotzbach indicated that he would defer presentation to the Trustees if significant concerns arise out of the informational sessions or other feedback. He emphasized again that the Campus Plan is a living, breathing document that may (and likely will) change over time.